

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/673,686	Applicant(s) ELDRIDGE ET AL.	
	Examiner Ernest F. Karlsen	Art Unit 2829	

All Participants:

(1) Ernest F. Karlsen.

(2) N. Kenneth Burraston.

Date of Interview: 31 May 2006

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
Those related to claim 47

Claims discussed:
47 and 71

Prior art documents discussed:
that previously applied


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


ERNEST KARLSEN
PRIMARY EXAMINER

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner called Mr. Burraston indicating that claim 71 would be allowed. The Examiner suggested that if claim 47 were amended to include the limitations of claim 71 all claims would be allowed. Mr. Burraston authorized amending claim 47 to include the limitations of claim 71 and the cancellation of claim 71..



ERNEST KARLSEN
PRIMARY EXAMINER